## Edwards, Michelle

From:

Todd Nation [booknation@gmail.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, November 09, 2016 10:52 AM

To:

Payne, Brian

Cc:

Azar, George; DeBaun, Curtis; Auler, Amy; Morris, Don; Elliott, Earl; Nasser, Karrum;

Nation, Todd; Garrison, Neil; Crossen, Martha; Edwards, Michelle

Subject:

Re: Thank You! & Thoughts on Hybrid SW Fee

This is very helpful, Brian. Thank you for your thoughtful input on this legislation.

FILED

Sincerely,

NOV 09 2016

Todd Nation mobile 812-870-4986 CITY CLERK

On Nov 9, 2016, at 9:41 AM, Payne, Brian < bpayne@structurepoint.com > wrote:

Good morning Council Members,

First and foremost: thank you for your thoughtful consideration and discussion of both the new budget and the proposed sewer and stormwater fees. I recently had the chance to get caught up on the recent council meeting recordings and I've been impressed with your concern and representation of the citizens of Terre Haute. Thank you for your hard work and please keep it up! We do notice and appreciate your effort.

I also wanted to offer my personal thoughts on the proposed stormwater and sewer fees, and share what I hope is some useful information. You'll find attached 2 reports I've requested from Umbaugh. The reports provide a statewide evaluation of both wastewater and stormwater fees over the past four years. The wastewater study uses 4,0000 gallons (typical monthly single family household wastewater generation) as a common unit for comparison between Indiana communities. For comparison when reviewing the report: A typical Terre Haute household currently pays \$37.96/mo for 4,000 gallons. Under the proposed wastewater rate increase that rate grows to \$50.48/mo. You can look in the repot and see how our current and proposed wastewater and stormwater fees compare to other Indiana communities over the past 4 years. My calcs are summarized in the table below for the 33% wastewater rate increase (and attached as a spreadsheet):

	Gallons Generated	Fee / 100 CF		Equivalent Gallons	Min Fee (First 300 CF)		Equivalent Gallons	Total Fee (4,000 gallons)	
Existing	4000		7.40	748	\$	23.16	2,244	\$	37.96
Proposed	4000		9.84	748	\$	30.80	2,244	\$	50.48

Our existing monthly fee of \$37.96 for a typical household compares favorably with the statewide average (in December 2015) of \$39.12 from Umbaugh's study. The proposed increase to \$50.48 is certainly above average, but it doesn't exceed the maximum rate in the state of \$87/mo for 4,000 gallons. It is important to note that the state wide averages only went through December 2015 and don't reflect any rate increases proposed within the last year. In addition, 20% of the 370 communities evaluated in the report have not raised wastewater rates in over a decade. They are long overdue and are likely facing (or have already implemented) large rate increases. The proposed residential

stormwater rate of \$3.50 is well below the state average of \$5.36/mo based on 86 Indiana Stormwater Utilities.

Finally, I tried to put myself in your shoes and evaluate the proposed waste water and hybrid wastewater + stormwater fee increases. While I did evaluate the fee increases through my perspective as practitioner in the wastewater / stormwater field, in addition to a Terre Haute resident, the thoughts that follow are simply my personal opinion and don't represent professional recommendations.

- The proposed stormwater fee requires a higher burden of proof as an entirely new fee
  - Because the stormwater fee is a fee that doesn't currently exist, I feel that the
    administration needs to provide a strong rationale for this fee and a clear plan for its
    use. Many communities implement a stormwater fee through a stormwater utility with
    a stormwater management plan for those very reasons. As proposed, the stormwater
    fee would be administered by and across the sanitary district and go solely towards
    financing bonds for the next phase of the Long Term Control Plan.
  - As a new fee, the opportunities for unintended consequences and unfair implementation are greater than for the existing wastewater fee.
  - Ultimately I do not feel the administration has met the criteria above for implementation of a new stormwater fee. I think it is certainly a something that should be considered in the future, but it will need careful study and significant public input to get buy-in. That just hasn't been accomplished yet.
- 2. The hybrid fee proposal isn't necessarily more equitable
  - For average users, I think the hybrid fee versus the wastewater fee increase is nearly a wash. For example, our average monthly sewer bill is around \$45/month. A 1/3 increase would add \$15. A 22% increase plus \$3.50 stormwater fee would add \$13.50 nearly the same. I think this is part of why you haven't seen a large participation from residents. Intuitively we recognize the rates are going up one way or another.
  - Where the equity is less clear is for business and organizations with large physical footprints (impervious areas) and these are the folks that have been vocal and shown up to your meetings. Here too, I think it will be a wash for many users. While service industries (restaurants, laundromats, etc.), multi-family housing, universities, and even some churches may have large physical footprints, they also likely have large wastewater bills because of their high water use. They're going to get hit hard whether it's the wastewater fee or hybrid fee.
  - There are a small group of users that could be disproportionately impacted by the hybrid fee: large footprint but small water users (some commercial and industrial/commercial users like logistics/shipping) and agriculture. These were the most vocal opponents of the hybrid fee. While some of these users are contributing large amounts of stormwater to the sanitary sewers (any business with large runoff within city limits not serviced by one of the very few stormwater sewers), there are some that have valid concerns about being charged for runoff that they're not contributing to the sewers. I did look at the industrial park in Beacon to try to verify the claim that those businesses don't contribute to the sanitary sewers. There aren't any existing stormwater sewer shown, but there are several stormwater detention basins. The area is only served by sanitary sewers, but it is still likely that the claim is true that all stormwater is retained and not allowed to enter the sewers. This would have to be confirmed with the city engineer. I also think the farmer who spoke made a compelling argument that he also isn't significantly contributing runoff to the system.
  - Overall, I do not feel sufficient data has been supplied to support the case that the
    hybrid fee will be more equitable for most users and I suspect there will be a small
    minority of users disproportionately charged for a service they either don't use or use
    very little.

- 3. The wastewater fee increase seems to be simpler and more transparent
  - I found the most constructive way to think about the upcoming required long term control plan improvements is that they are simply a business cost of providing sanitary sewer service to customers. As such, I think the simplest and most transparent method of dealing with the LTCP cost is to raise the fees of users purchasing service to provide the necessary revenue for the next bond issue. Terre Haute is not alone in facing these LTCP costs communities all across Indiana are facing them. Compared to many of these communities, Terre Haute is facing a rather modest price tag. It is paramount that progress be made on the LTCP so that these agreeable terms aren't renegotiated or fees and/or fines levied against the community.
  - People outside the city limits but within in the sanitary district will argue that the sanitary fees are taxation without representation. While I understand the sentiment they're not being taxed, they're being charged a fee for a service. Any business or service provider must and will include the costs of providing that service in their fee in order to stay in business and unlike taxes that's not something you get to vote on. This is not an insignificant group. In Beacon, you can select the "Sanitary District" layer and see how much larger the sanitary district it is than the city boundary.

In summary, for the three main reasons outlined above I personally recommend you approve the wastewater fee rate increase and reject the hybrid fee. I do commend you for considering both – that's just the sort creative and critical thinking we need to get us on a better financial footing. In truth, until I spent time evaluating the two choices I initially preferred the hybrid fee, but it just doesn't hold up to closer scrutiny.

Please feel free to contact me to discuss further.

Sincerely,

Brian Payne, PE Staff Engineer – Utility Infrastructure

<image001.jpg>

American Structurepoint
711 Ohio St., Terre Haute, IN 47803
t 812.478.0503 c 206.769.4411

e bpayne@structurepoint.com w www.structurepoint.com

Voted "Best Place to Work in Indiana"

<image002.jpg> <image003.jpg> <image004.jpg> <image005.jpg> <image006.jpg>

DISCLAIMER: This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute, utilize, or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake, and delete this e-mail from your system. No design changes or decisions made by e-mail shall be considered part of the contract documents unless otherwise specified, and all design changes and/or decisions made by e-mail must be submitted as an RFI or a submittal unless otherwise specified. All designs, plans, specifications and other contract documents (including all electronic files) prepared by American Structurepoint shall remain the property of American Structurepoint, and American Structurepoint retains all rights thereto, including but not limited to copyright, statutory and common-law rights thereto, unless otherwise specified by contract. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain

viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required, please request a hard-copy version. American Structurepoint, Inc., 7260 Shadeland Station, Indianapolis, IN 46256, USA, http://www.structurepoint.com/http://www.emaildisclaimers.com/

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you may NOT use, disclose, copy or disseminate this information. Please contact the sender by reply e-mail immediately and destroy all copies of the original message including all attachments. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

The City of Terre Haute 17 Harding Avenue Terre Haute, IN 47807

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast. For more information please visit <a href="http://www.mimecast.com">http://www.mimecast.com</a>

- <Umbaugh 2016 Sewer Comparative Rate Study.pdf>
- <SW Rate Comparison\_101716.pdf>
- <Fee Change.xlsx>